

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 05.09.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.MANIKUMAR

Habeas Corpus Petition No.649 of 2006

Solaiyammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate,
Tiruchirapalli District,
Tiruchirapalli.

.. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in connection with the detention order passed in CrI.M.P.No.22/2006 dated 05.03.2006 on the file of the second respondent herein, set aside the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the detenu Sakthivel S/o Vaiyapuri, who is confined in Central Prison, Trichy before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Munirathnam Naidu

For Respondents: Mr.M.Babu Muthu Meeran

Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by P.SATHASIVAM,J.)

The petitioner, who is the wife of the detenu by name Vaiyapuri, who is detained as a "Bootlegger" as contemplated under Section 3(1) the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), by the impugned detention order dated 05.03.2006, challenges the same in this Petition.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents.

3. At the foremost, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is enormous delay in disposal of the representation of the detenu, which vitiates the ultimate order of detention. With reference to the above claim, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has placed the details, which show that the representation of the detenu was received by the Government on 23.03.2006 and remarks were called for on 24.03.2006 and the remarks were received by the Government on 03.04.2006 and the File was submitted on the same day i.e. on 03.04.2006 and the same was dealt with by the Under Secretary and Deputy Secretary on 04.04.2006 and finally, the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed orders on 05.04.2006. The rejection letter was prepared on 10.04.2006 and the same was sent to the detenu on 12.04.2006 and served to him on 15.04.2006. As rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, though the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed an order on 05.04.2006, there is no explanation at all for taking time for preparation of rejection letter till 10.04.2006. In the absence of any explanation by the person concerned even after excluding the intervening holidays, we are of the view that the time taken for preparation of rejection letter is on the higher side and we hold that the said delay has prejudiced the detenu in disposal of his representation. On this ground, we quash the impugned order of detention.

4. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned order of detention is set aside. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith from the custody unless he is required in some other case or cause.

raa

सत्यमेव जयते

Sd/
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

WEB COPY

Sub Asst.Registrar

To

1. The Secretary to Government, State of Tamil Nadu,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruchirapalli District, Tiruchirapalli.

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichirapalli.
(In duplicate for communication to detenu)


4. The Joint Secretary to Government, Public (Law and Order)
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

5. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

GM(CO)

SR/12.9.2006

HCP. No.649 of 2006



WEB COPY